

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/623,084 | 07/17/2003 | Carol Barrett | 1875.8180000 3298 | |
| 26111 | 7590 03/07/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC | | | NGUYEN, TUYEN T | |
| 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2832 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/623,084 | BARRETT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| TUYEN T. NGUYEN | 2832 | | |

| | TOYEN T. NGOYEN | 2832 | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | - | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, tension and the corresponding amount thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1: | | colca dalliis. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment | (DTOL 324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mphant Amendment | (FTOL-324). | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | timely filed amendme | ent concoling the | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). | owabie ii subiliilled iii a separale, | unlery med amendine | an canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. | | ll be entered and an e | explanation of | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | • | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | • | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,5 and 22-25</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | • | | • | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (| PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | |
| | ブ | myen Ngu | glu | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly amendment require further search and/or reconsideration.